

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,78	09/975,787	10/11/2001	Martin Kleban	Mo-6451/LeA 34,705	9589	
	34947	7590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER		
	2	HEMICALS CORPO EPARTMENT	HESS, BRUCE H			
	100 BAYER	= :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PITTSBUR	GH, PA 15205-9741		1774		
				DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	3	

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Applicant(s)
Kleban et al. Application No. 09/975,787

Oπice Action Summa		Examiner		Group Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Bruce	Hess	いつつせ	
-Th MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet	beneath the co	rrespondence add	iress—
P riod for Reply		_		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THIS COMMUNICATION.	REPLY IS SET TO E	XPIRE 3	MONTH(S	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the priform the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than If NO period for reply is specified above, such per Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	n thirty (30) days, a reply riod shall, by default, ex for reply will, by statute,	within the statutory pire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the applicati	minimum of thirty (S from the mailing d on to become ABAI	30) days will be conside late of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	ered timely. tion. 33).
Status	9-15-03	(Respo	ce		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1-13-03	, (respe	,,,,		·
This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for a accordance with the practice under Ex pa 				to the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-14	•		is/are p	ending in the applic	cation.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are v	vithdrawn from cons	sideration.
□ Claim(s)			is/are a	s/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)			is/are r	re rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		······································	is/are o		
☐ Claim(s)	·				relection
Application Papers			require	ment	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed	on	_ is □ approve	d 🗆 disapprove	ed.	
	is/are objected	to by the Examin	er		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
•					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	er 35 U.S.C. § 119) (a)–(d).		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	the Examiner.	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	9 (a)–(d).		,
 □ The specification is objected to by the Example of the control of	the Examiner. r foreign priority unde	•) (a)–(d).		·
 □ The specification is objected to by the Export of the properties of	the Examiner. r foreign priority unde	ived.			·
 □ The specification is objected to by the Experiment of the control of the control	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been receivents have been receivents	ived. ived in Application	n No	······································	·
 □ The specification is objected to by the Experiment of the content of the content	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receivered.	ived. ived in Application	n No	· ·	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Experiment of the content of the content	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been received the state of the st	ived. ived in Application ave been received ireau (PCT Rule 1	n No I 7.2(a))	•	_
 □ The specification is objected to by the Experience □ The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority docume □ Certified copies of the priority docume □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been received the state of the st	ived. ived in Application ave been received ireau (PCT Rule 1	n No I 7.2(a))	•	_•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Example of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume ☐ Copies of the Copies ☐ Copies	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been rece ents have been rece priority documents ha the International Bu	ived. ived in Applicatio ave been received ireau (PCT Rule 1	n No I 7.2(a))		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been rece ents have been rece priority documents ha the International Bu	ived. ived in Application ave been received ireau (PCT Rule 1	n No. I 7.2(a)) □ Int rview Sumi		_ ion, PTO-15
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO	the Examiner. r foreign priority under ents have been receivents have been receivents have been received at the International But the International But D-1449, Paper No(s).	ived. ived in Application ave been received ireau (PCT Rule 1	n No. I 7.2(a)) □ Int rview Sumi □ Notice of Infon	mary, PTO-413	•

Application/Control Number: 09/975,787

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-14 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nehen et al. for the reasons of record

Claims 1-14 are again rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness – type-doubling patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S.P. 5,635,211 for the reasons of record.

The In re Antonie result-effective variable concept is an exception to the rule established in In re Aller, not a prerequisite to its application. When applicant establishes that a parameter is result-effective, the <u>prima facie</u> care of obviousness established under In re Aller is rebutted. In the present case, there have been no showings by applicants that the isocyanurate content and the weight % of the walls based on the total weight of the microcapsules are result–effective.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,787

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (703) 308-2402.

B. Hess/lap

November 4, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER